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## Methodology

(. Population The city of Lethbridge has a total population of 81,692 residents ( 2007 municipal census) approximately $80 \%$ of which are 18 years of age or older ( 65,832 ). A total of 39,941 Lethbridge residents are male ( $48.9 \%$ ) while 41,751 are female (51.1\%). South Lethbridge is home to 29,225 residents ( $35.8 \%$ ) while the rapidly expanding west side is now home to 28,443 residents (34.8\%). There are 24,024 north Lethbridge residents (29.4\%).

1. Sample Data were collected by Lethbridge College and Athabasca University students enrolled in STS270 Social Science Research Methods and PSC150 - Local Government in the fall of 2007. Students interviewed 866 adult city of Lethbridge residents by telephone from September $26^{\text {th }}$ to $30^{\text {th }} 2007$. Telephone numbers were selected from a sample drawn from Info Canada directories. We sincerely appreciate and thank all those who took time out of their day to respond to our survey. Full methodological notes for the 2004 samplecan be obtained by visiting the CSRL web pages at: www.lethbridgecollege.ab.ca/go/csrl
(. Representativeness Analysis of the demographic data indicates that, within acceptable limits, the sample accurately represents the demographic distribution of the adult population within the city of Lethbridge. The sample has been statistically weighted where necessary to even better reflect the demographic distribution of the population (gender and area of the city of Lethbridge).
1.- Confidence The weighted sample yields a margin of error of $\pm 3.3 \%, 19$ times out of 20 for the full Lethbridge sample. The margin of error increases when analyzing sub-samples of the data.
(1. IB Commons Call Centre Students conducted interviews using the facilities of the Lethbridge College IB Commons Call Centre and applications developed by the Open Source Learning Lab. We thank Dr. James Manis and the OSLL for their efforts in working with us to establish and maintain the IB Commons Call Centre.

Sponsorship These data are part of a larger study of the opinions and attitudes of Lethbridge residents conducted by the Citizen Society Research Lab at Lethbridge College. This particular question was sponsored by the Downtown Lethbridge Business Revitalization Zone. We thank the BRZ for their support of our research endeavors.

## Recommend Downtown to Visitors (\%)

( A majority of Lethbridge residents are likely to recommend visitors to the city go downtown for shopping, entertainment (70.1\%). Over one-quarter of all residents (27.2\%) are very likely to recommend visitors go downtown, while a further two in five residents (42.8\%) are somewhat likely. Slightly less than one in five residents (19.1\%) are somewhat unlikely to recommend visitors go downtown, while only $10.8 \%$ are very unlikely to recommend a trip downtown.

Women (72.0\%), south (72.2\%) and west (71.3\%) Lethbridge residents, and older residents are most likely to recommend visitors go downtown. Men (68.0\%), middle income residents (68.0\%), and the youth (62.0\%) are less likely to recommend visitors go downtown.

| Recommend Downtown to Visitors (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2004 | 2007 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2004 | 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Very Likely | 35.3 | 27.2 |  | 73.6 | 70.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Somewhat Likely | 38.3 | 42.8 | Likely | 26.4 | 29.9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Somewhat Unlikely | 16.8 | 19.1 | Unlikely | 20.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Very Unlikely | 9.6 | 10.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Downtown Streetscape Infrastructure Improvements (\%)

( A strong majority of Lethbridge residents (85.2\%) support the City of Lethbridge investing in streetscape infrastructure improvements in downtown Lethbridge. Almost one-third of all residents (30.4\%) strongly support streetscape infrastructure improvements while a further $54.8 \%$ are somewhat supportive. Only $11.4 \%$ of all residents are somewhat opposed, and fewer still are strongly opposed (3.4\%).

West Lethbridge residents (87.6\%) and upper income earners (90.3\%) are most supportive. The youth (79.1\%) and north Lethbridge residents (82.6\%) are somewhat less supportive, but still demonstrate much more overall support than opposition.

Downtown Streetscape Infrastructure Improvements (\%)

|  | 2007 |  | 2007 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :---: |
| Strongly Support | 30.4 |  |  |
| Somewhat Support | 54.8 | Support | 85.2 |
| Somewhat Oppose | 11.4 | Oppose | 14.8 |
| Strongly Oppose | 3.4 |  |  |

## Downtown Infrastructure Maintenance Program (\%)

( An overwhelming majority of Lethbridge residents (94.0\%) support the City of Lethbridge establishing a maintenance and upkeep program to ensure downtown infrastructure remains clean and safe for all downtown visitors. Over one-third of all residents (37.7\%) strongly support establishing a maintenance program, while a further $56.3 \%$ are somewhat supportive. Only $4.8 \%$ and $1.3 \%$ are somewhat or strongly opposed.
( O- Overwhelming majorities in all demographic categories demonstrate support for establishing an infrastructure maintenance and upkeep program for downtown Lethbridge.

Downtown Infrastructure Maintenance Program (\%)

|  | 2007 |  | 2007 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :---: |
| Strongly Support | 37.7 |  |  |
| Somewhat Support | 56.3 | Support | 94.0 |
| Somewhat Oppose | 4.8 | Oppose | 6.0 |
| Strongly Oppose | 1.3 |  |  |

## Recommend Downtown to Visitors (\%)

|  | Male | Female | All Residents |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Likely | 68.0 | 72.0 | 70.1 |
| Unlikely | 32.0 | 28.0 | 29.9 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | South | North | West |
| Likely | 72.2 | 65.9 | 71.3 |
| Unlikely | 27.8 | 34.1 | 28.7 |
|  |  |  | Over $\$ 80,000$ |
|  |  |  | 74.1 |
| Likely | Under $\$ 40,000$ | $\$ 40,000$ to $\$ 80,000$ | 25.9 |
| Unlikely | 73.3 | 68.0 |  |


|  | High School or less | Some Post Secondary | College-Tech-Trade | University Grad |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Likely | 74.0 | 69.7 | 70.0 | 66.5 |
| Unlikely | 26.0 | 30.3 | 30.0 | 33.5 |


|  | $18-29$ | $30-44$ | $45-64$ | 65 and older |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Likely | 62.0 | 73.1 | 72.4 | 74.0 |
| Unlikely | 38.0 | 26.9 | 27.6 | 26.0 |

## Downtown Streetscape Infrastructure Improvements (\%)

|  | Male | Female | All Residents |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Support | 84.6 | 85.8 | 85.2 |
| Oppose | 15.4 | 14.2 | 14.8 |
|  | South | North | West |
| Support | 84.9 | 82.6 | 87.6 |
| Oppose | 15.1 | 17.4 | 12.4 |
|  | Under \$40,000 | \$40,000 to \$80,000 | Over \$80,000 |
| Support | 87.7 | 82.2 | 90.3 |
| Oppose | 12.3 | 17.8 | 9.7 |


|  | High School or less | Some Post Secondary | College-Tech-Trade | University Grad |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Support | 87.7 | 82.2 | 90.3 | 87.7 |
| Oppose | 12.3 | 17.8 | 9.7 | 12.3 |


|  | $18-29$ | $30-44$ | $45-64$ | 65 and older |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Support | 79.1 | 88.2 | 87.7 | 86.5 |
| Oppose | 20.9 | 11.8 | 12.3 | 13.5 |

## Downtown Infrastructure Maintenance Program (\%)

|  | Male | Female | All Residents |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Support | 92.5 | 95.5 | 94.0 |
| Oppose | 7.5 | 4.5 | 6.0 |
|  |  |  | West |
|  | South | North | 93.9 |
| Support | 95.0 | 92.9 | 6.1 |
| Oppose | 5.0 | 7.1 |  |
|  |  |  | Over $\$ 80,000$ |
| Unpport |  |  |  |


|  | High School or less | Some Post Secondary | College-Tech-Trade | University Grad |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Support | 95.5 | 95.8 | 95.9 | 89.8 |
| Oppose | 4.5 | 4.2 | 4.1 | 10.2 |


|  | $18-29$ | $30-44$ | $45-64$ | 65 and older |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Support | 94.5 | 92.5 | 94.3 | 94.1 |
| Oppose | 5.5 | 7.5 | 5.7 | 5.9 |

## Recommend Downtown to Visitors (\%)

|  | Male | Female | All Residents |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Very Likely | 24.4 | 29.2 | 26.8 |
| Somewhat Likely | 42.5 | 42.0 | 42.2 |
| Somewhat Unlikely | 20.5 | 17.4 | 18.9 |
| Very Unlikely | 11.3 | 10.3 | 10.8 |
| Don't Know | 1.4 | 1.1 | 1.2 |
|  |  |  | West |
| Very Likely | South | North | West |
| Somewhat Likely | 25.6 | 22.5 | 31.7 |
| Somewhat Unlikely | 45.0 | 42.6 | 39.4 |
| Very Unlikely | 20.1 | 17.1 | 19.0 |
| Don't Know | 7.1 | 16.7 | 9.5 |
|  | 2.3 | 1.2 | 0.3 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Under $\$ 40,000$ | $\$ 40,000$ to $\$ 80,000$ | Over $\$ 80,000$ |
| Very Likely | 33.6 | 16.7 | 35.4 |
| Somewhat Likely | 38.1 | 50.9 | 38.0 |
| Somewhat Unlikely | 14.6 | 22.6 | 14.6 |
| Very Unlikely | 11.6 | 9.1 | 10.4 |
| Don't Know | 2.2 | 0.7 | 1.6 |


|  | High School or less | Some Post Secondary | College-Tech-Trade | University Grad |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Very Likely | 23.2 | 29.7 | 22.9 | 32.7 |
| Somewhat Likely | 37.9 | 42.4 | 48.9 | 40.2 |
| Somewhat Unlikely | 23.7 | 16.3 | 20.2 | 15.4 |
| Very Unlikely | 13.8 | 10.5 | 7.2 | 10.2 |
| Don't Know | 1.3 | 1.2 | .9 | 1.6 |


|  | $18-29$ | $30-44$ | $45-64$ | 65 and older |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| Very Likely | 26.5 | 27.8 | 26.5 | 26.8 |
| Somewhat Likely | 47.5 | 40.5 | 42.8 | 38.1 |
| Somewhat Unlikely | 16.5 | 20.5 | 20.1 | 17.5 |
| Very Unlikely | 9.5 | 9.3 | 9.5 | 15.5 |
| Don't Know | 0.0 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 2.1 |

Note: Due to rounding, proportions may not total exactly $100 \%$

## Downtown Streetscape Infrastructure Improvements (\%)

|  | Male | Female | All Residents |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Strongly Support | 30.6 | 28.4 | 29.5 |
| Somewhat Support | 52.1 | 54.1 | 53.1 |
| Somewhat Oppose | 11.1 | 11.0 | 11.0 |
| Strongly Oppose | 3.7 | 2.7 | 3.2 |
| Don't Know | 2.5 | 3.8 | 3.2 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | South | North | West |
| Strongly Support | 30.4 | 25.6 | 31.6 |
| Somewhat Support | 51.5 | 53.5 | 54.3 |
| Somewhat Oppose | 12.6 | 12.0 | 8.6 |
| Strongly Oppose | 1.9 | 4.7 | 3.5 |
| Don't Know | 3.6 | 4.3 | 1.9 |


|  | Under $\$ 40,000$ | $\$ 40,000$ to $\$ 80,000$ | Over $\$ 80,000$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strongly Support | 33.2 | 26.1 | 33.3 |
| Somewhat Support | 52.6 | 53.5 | 54.2 |
| Somewhat Oppose | 9.3 | 13.4 | 6.3 |
| Strongly Oppose | 2.6 | 3.9 | 3.6 |
| Don't Know | 2.2 | 3.2 | 2.6 |


|  | High School or less | Some Post Secondary | College-Tech-Trade | University Grad |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| Strongly Support | 23.1 | 31.0 | 26.3 | 36.7 |
| Somewhat Support | 51.1 | 55.2 | 59.4 | 47.8 |
| Somewhat Oppose | 15.1 | 10.9 | 11.6 | 6.8 |
| Strongly Oppose | 4.4 | .6 | .9 | 6.0 |
| Don't Know | 6.2 | 2.3 | 1.8 | 2.8 |


|  | $18-29$ | $30-44$ | $45-64$ | 65 and older |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strongly Support | 34.0 | 35.0 | 26.1 | 24.1 |
| Somewhat Support | 57.5 | 50.7 | 55.1 | 47.7 |
| Somewhat Oppose | 5.0 | 8.9 | 11.3 | 19.0 |
| Strongly Oppose | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.9 | 3.1 |
| Don't Know | 0.5 | 2.5 | 3.5 | 6.2 |

## Downtown Infrastructure Maintenance Program (\%)

|  | Male | Female | All Residents |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Strongly Support | 34.0 | 39.6 | 36.9 |
| Somewhat Support | 56.3 | 54.1 | 55.2 |
| Somewhat Oppose | 6.4 | 3.1 | 4.8 |
| Strongly Oppose | 0.9 | 1.6 | 1.2 |
| Don't Know | 2.3 | 1.6 | 1.9 |
|  |  |  | West |
|  | South | North | 37.7 |
| Strongly Support | 39.6 | 32.9 | 54.4 |
| Somewhat Support | 52.9 | 58.9 | 5.1 |
| Somewhat Oppose | 4.5 | 4.3 | 1.3 |
| Strongly Oppose | 0.3 | 2.3 | 1.6 |
| Don't Know | 2.6 | 1.6 |  |


|  | Under $\$ 40,000$ | $\$ 40,000$ to $\$ 80,000$ | Over $\$ 80,000$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strongly Support | 42.9 | 35.7 | 34.6 |
| Somewhat Support | 50.0 | 55.2 | 58.6 |
| Somewhat Oppose | 4.1 | 7.0 | 4.2 |
| Strongly Oppose | 0.7 | 1.0 | 1.6 |
| Don't Know | 2.2 | 1.0 | 1.0 |


|  | High School or less | Some Post Secondary | College-Tech-Trade | University Grad |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strongly Support | 35.6 | 43.4 | 31.8 | 38.6 |
| Somewhat Support | 58.7 | 50.3 | 62.8 | 48.8 |
| Somewhat Oppose | 3.6 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 7.1 |
| Strongly Oppose | 1.3 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 2.8 |
| Don't Know | 0.9 | 2.3 | 1.3 | 2.8 |


|  | $18-29$ | $30-44$ | $45-64$ | 65 and older |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strongly Support | 38.8 | 37.6 | 38.5 | 32.0 |
| Somewhat Support | 55.7 | 52.7 | 54.4 | 58.8 |
| Somewhat Oppose | 5.0 | 5.4 | 4.2 | 4.6 |
| Strongly Oppose | 0.5 | 2.0 | 1.4 | 1.0 |
| Don't Know | 0.0 | 2.4 | 1.4 | 3.6 |

## Demographics

| Gender (\%) |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Male | 48.6 |
| Female | 51.4 |


| Area of City (\%) |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| South | 35.0 |
| North | 29.3 |
| West | 35.7 |


| Income (\%) |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Under \$40,000 | 35.8 |
| \$40-\$80,000 | 39.3 |
| Over \$80,000 | 24.9 |


| Education (\%) |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| H-School/less | 27.8 |
| Some P-Sec. | 19.1 |
| Col-Tech-Grad | 25.6 |
| University Grad | 27.4 |


| Age (\%) |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $18-29$ | 22.9 |
| $30-44$ | 23.3 |
| $45-64$ | 31.9 |
| 65 or older | 21.8 |

Note: Due to rounding, proportions may not total exactly 100

## Questions

Q. If someone you know was visiting Lethbridge, how likely are you to recommend they go downtown for shopping, dining, or entertainment?
Q. Please tell me how much you support or oppose the City of Lethbridge investing in streetscape infrastructure improvements in downtown to create a more pedestrian friendly environment.
Q. Please tell me how much you support or oppose the City of Lethbridge establishing a maintenance and upkeep program to ensure downtown infrastructure remains clean and safe for all downtown visitors.

